

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,285	YANG, HONDA
	Examiner Helen Rossoshek	Art Unit 2825

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(3) ____.

(2) Forrest Gunnison (Registration No. 32,899).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 12/5/05: Examiner proposed restriction for the Application; having two groups. A provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-4, 6-11. Claims 5, 12-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner. 12/08/05: Examiner proposed: 1) an amendment for claims 1,2, 6; 2) replace drawings 5,6,7 to make them readable; 3) cancel claims 5,12-19 as non-elected during restriction/election .